

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/629,357	Applicant(s) KNOBE ET AL.	
	Examiner Leon J. Harper	Art Unit 2166	

**All Participants:**

(1) Leon J. Harper.


(2) Denise A. Lee. (Reg. No. 35,931).
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8/3/2006
**Time:** 2:00 pm 
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No 


If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*none*
**Claims discussed:**
*13,25*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
 
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone call was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Denise A Lee. (Reg. No. 35,931) about a potential 101 issue. The representative agreed with Examiner's proposal and authorization was given for an Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MOHAMMAD ALI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**
  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)